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Redefining Health Care

• Universal coverage is essential, but not enough
• The core issue in health care is the value of health care delivered

Value: Patient health outcomes per dollar spent

• How to design a health care system that dramatically improves value
  – Ownership of entities is secondary (e.g. non-profit vs. for profit vs. government)
• How to create a dynamic system that keeps rapidly improving
Creating a Value-Based Health Care System

• Significant improvement in value will require **fundamental restructuring of health care delivery**, not incremental improvements

Today, 21st century medical technology is delivered with 19th century organization structures, management practices, and pricing models

- TQM, process improvements, and safety initiatives are beneficial but **not sufficient** to substantially improve value
Creating a Value-Based Health Care System

• Competition is a powerful force to encourage restructuring of care and continuous improvement in value
  – For patients
  – For health plan subscribers

• Today’s competition in health care is not aligned with value

Financial success of system participants ≠ Patient success

• Creating competition on value is the central challenge in health care reform
Zero-Sum Competition in U.S. Health Care

**Bad Competition**
- Competition to **shift costs** or capture a bigger share of revenue
- Competition to **increase bargaining power**
- Competition to **capture patients** and restrict choice
- Competition to **restrict services** in order to maximize revenue per visit or reduce costs

**Good Competition**
- Competition to **increase value** for patients

Zero or Negative Sum  Positive Sum
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs

   - Health **outcomes** are objective outcomes, not patient perceptions of the service experience
   - The costs of achieving outcomes are the **total costs**, not the costs borne by any one party

• Improving value will require going **beyond waste reduction** and **administrative savings**
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs

2. The best way to **contain costs** is to **improve quality**

   Quality = Health outcomes

   - Prevention
   - Early detection
   - Right diagnosis
   - Early and timely treatment
   - Treatment earlier in the causal chain of disease
   - Right treatment to the right patients
   - Fewer delays in the care delivery process
   - Fewer complications
   - Fewer mistakes and repeats in treatment

   - Less invasive treatment methods
   - Faster recovery
   - More complete recovery
   - Less disability
   - Fewer relapses or acute episodes
   - Slower disease progression
   - Less need for long term care

   • Better health is **inherently less expensive** than poor health
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs

2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**

3. There must be **competition for patients** based on **results**

| Value: Patient health outcomes | Total cost of achieving those outcomes |

- Reward **value** vs. process compliance
- Get **patients** to excellent providers vs. “lift all boats” or “pay for performance”
- Expand the **proportion of patients** cared for by the most effective teams
- **Grow the excellent teams** by reallocating capacity and expanding across locations
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs

2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**

3. There must be **competition for patients** based on **results**

4. Competition should center on **medical conditions** over the **full cycle of care**
Restructuring Health Care Delivery
Migraine Care in Germany

Old Model: Organize by Specialty and Discrete Services

- Imaging Centers
- Outpatient Physical Therapists
- Inpatient Neurologists
- Outpatient Psychologists
- Primary Care Physicians
- Outpatient Treatment and Detox Units

New Model: Organize into Integrated Practice Units (IPUs)

- Imaging Unit
- Primary Care Physicians
- West German Headache Center
  Neurologists
  Psychologists
  Physical Therapists
  Day Hospital
- Network Neurologists
- Essen Univ. Hospital Inpatient Unit

• Organize around the patient over the cycle of care, not the specialist/intervention/department

What is a Medical Condition?

• A medical condition is an interrelated set of patient medical circumstances best addressed in an integrated way
  – Defined from the patient’s perspective
  – Involves multiple specialties and services

• Includes the most common co-occurring conditions

• Examples
  – Diabetes (including vascular disease, hypertension, others)
  – Breast Cancer
  – Stroke
  – Migraine
  – Asthma
  – Congestive Heart Failure

• The medical condition is the unit of value creation in health care delivery

• Many providers will operate multiple IPUs
The Cycle of Care
Care Delivery Value Chain for Breast Cancer

INFORMING & ENGAGING
- Advice on self screening
- Consultation on risk factors
- Counseling
  - Patient and family on the diagnostic process and the diagnosis
- Explaining
  - Patient choices of treatment
  - Achieving compliance
- Counseling on rehabilitation options, process
  - Achieving compliance

MEASURING
- Self exams
- Mammograms
  - Mammograms
  - Ultrasound
  - MRI
  - Biopsy
  - BRACA 1, 2...
- Office visits
- Mammography lab visits
  - Office visits
  - Lab visits
  - High-risk clinic visits
- Hospital stay
- Visits to outpatient or radiation chemotherapy units
- Rehabilitation facility visits

ACCESSING
- Office visits
- Lab visits
- High-risk clinic visits
- Mammograms
  - MRI
  - Biopsy

MONITORING/ PREVENTING
- Medical history
  - Control of risk factors (obesity, high fat diet)
  - Genetic screening
  - Clinical exams
  - Monitoring for lumps
- Determining the specific nature of the disease
- Genetic evaluation
- Choosing a treatment plan
- Medical history
- Surgery prep (anesthetic risk assessment, EKG)
- Medical counseling
- Plastic or oncoplastic surgery evaluation

DIAGNOSING
- Surgery (breast preservation or mastectomy, oncoplastic alternative)
- Adjuvant therapies (hormonal medication, radiation, and/or chemotherapy)

PREPARING
- Patient and family psychological counseling
- Physical therapy

INTERVENING
- In-hospital and outpatient wound healing
- Psychological counseling
- Treatment of side effects (skin damage, neurotoxic, cardiac, nausea, lymphodema and chronic fatigue)

RECOVERING/ REHABING
- Periodic mammography
- Other imaging
- Follow-up clinical exams
- Treatment for any continued side effects

Primary care providers are often the beginning and end of the care cycle
What is Integrated Care?

• Integration **across specialties and services** in addressing a medical condition

• Integration **over the care cycle** for a medical condition

• For some patients, integration **across medical conditions**
  – A patient can be cared for by more than one IPU

• Integrated care is **not**:
  – A multispecialty group practice
  – Co-location
  – Care delivered by the same organization
  – Hyper-specialization
  – Freestanding focused factories
  – A Center or Institute
  – Vertically integrated health plan/provider systems
Patients with Multiple Medical Conditions
Coordinating Care Across IPUs

- The primary organization of care delivery should be around the integration required for **every patient**
- IPUs will greatly simplify coordination of care for patients with multiple medical conditions
- The patient with multiple conditions will be **better off** in an IPU model
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs
2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**
3. There must be **competition for patients** based on **results**
4. Competition should center on **medical conditions** over the **full cycle of care**
5. Value is driven by provider **experience, scale, and learning** at the medical condition level
Experience, Scale, and Value in Health Care Delivery
The Virtuous Circle in a Medical Condition

- The virtuous cycle extends across geography when care for a medical condition is integrated across locations.
Consequences of Service Fragmentation

• Health care delivery in every country is **highly fragmented**
  – Extreme duplication of services
  – Low volume of patients per medical condition per provider
  – Duplication and fragmentation are present even within affiliated hospitals or systems

• Most providers **lack the scale and experience** to justify dedicated facilities, dedicated teams, and integrated care over the cycle

• Fragmentation drives organizations into **shared units**
  – Specialties
  – Imaging
  – Procedures

• Patient value suffers
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs
2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**
3. There must be **unrestricted competition** based on **results**
4. Competition should center on **medical conditions** over the **full cycle of care**
5. Value is driven by provider **experience, scale, and learning** at the medical condition level
6. Competition should be **regional and national**, not just local
   - Providers should be selected based on excellence in a medical condition, rather than because they are the most convenient
   - Excellent providers can manage care delivery **across multiple geographies**
Managing Care Across Geography
The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) Affiliations

- Grand View Hospital, PA
  Pediatric Inpatient Care

- Abington Memorial Hospital, PA
  Pediatric Inpatient Care

- Chester County Hospital, PA
  Pediatric Inpatient Care

- CHILDREN’S HOSPITAL OF PHILADELPHIA

- Shore Memorial Hospital, NJ
  Pediatric Inpatient Care
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be value for patients, not just lowering costs

2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in quality

3. There must be competition for patients based on results

4. Competition should center on medical conditions over the full cycle of care

5. Value is driven by provider experience, scale, and learning at the medical condition level

6. Competition should be regional and national, not just local

7. Results must be universally measured and reported

Value: Patient health outcomes
      Total cost of achieving those outcomes
Measuring Value in Health Care

- The **primary goal is value**, not access, equity

- **Patient Initial Conditions**
- **Process**
  - Evidence-based medicine
  - Protocols
  - Guidelines
- **Patient Compliance**
- **(Health) Outcomes**
  - E.g., Hemoglobin A1c levels of patients with diabetes
- **Health Indicators**
- **Patient Satisfaction with Care Experience**
- **Patient Reported Health Outcomes**
Measuring Value: The Unit of Analysis

• The **appropriate unit for measuring value** must align with **how value is created for patients**
  – Across services
  – Across time

• **Value should be measured for medical conditions** over the **cycle of care**
  – not for hospitals, practices, clinics, or departments
  – not for types of service (e.g. inpatient, outpatient, tests, rehabilitation)
  – not for interventions or short episodes

• **Current efforts suffer from measuring value at differing/inappropriate levels**
The Outcome Measures Hierarchy

**Tier 1**

Health Status Achieved

- **Degree of recovery / health**

**Tier 2**

Process of Recovery

- **Time to recovery or return to normal activities**
  - Disutility of care or treatment process (e.g., treatment-related discomfort, complications, or adverse effects, diagnostic errors, treatment errors and their consequences in terms of additional treatment)

**Tier 3**

Sustainability of Health

- **Sustainability of recovery or health over time**
  - Long-term consequences of therapy (e.g., care-induced illnesses)
Measuring Breast Cancer Outcomes

- Survival
  - Survival rate (One year, three year, five year, longer)

- Degree of recovery / health
  - Remission
  - Functional status
  - Breast conservation outcome

- Time to recovery or return to normal activities
  - Time to remission
  - Time to achieve functional status

- Disutility of care or treatment process
  - Nosocomial infection
  - Nausea
  - Vomiting
  - Febrile neutropenia
  - Limitation of motion
  - Depression

- Sustainability of recovery or health over time
  - Cancer recurrence
  - Sustainability of functional status

- Long-term consequences of therapy
  - Incidence of secondary cancers
  - Brachial plexopathy
  - Premature osteoporosis
Measuring Initial Conditions
Breast Cancer

- Stage of disease
- Type of cancer (infiltrating ductal carcinoma, tubular, medullary, lobular, etc.)
- Estrogen and progesterone receptor status (positive or negative)
- Sites of metastases
- Age
- Menopausal status
- General health, including co-morbidities

- As care delivery improves, some initial conditions that once affected outcomes will decline in importance
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just **lowering costs**
2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**
3. There must be **competition for patients** based on **results**
4. Competition should center on **medical conditions** over the **full cycle of care**
5. Value is driven by provider **experience, scale, and learning** at the medical condition level
6. Competition should be **regional** and **national**, not just local
7. **Results** must be universally measured and reported
8. Reimbursement should be aligned with **value** and reward **innovation**
   - Reimbursement for **care cycles**, not discrete treatments or services
     - Most DRG systems are **too narrow**
   - Reimbursement for **prevention and screening**, not just treatment
   - Reimbursement for **overall management of chronic conditions**
   - Reimbursement adjusted for **patient complexity**
Principles of Value-Based Competition

1. The goal should be **value for patients**, not just lowering costs
2. The best way to contain costs is to drive improvement in **quality**
3. There must be **competition for patients** based on **results**
4. Competition should center on **medical conditions** over the **full cycle of care**
5. Value is driven by provider **experience, scale, and learning** at the medical condition level
6. Competition should be **regional and national**, not just local
7. **Results** must be universally measured and reported
8. Reimbursement should be aligned with **value** and reward **innovation**
9. **Information technology** will enable restructuring of care delivery and **measuring results**, but is **not a solution by itself**
   - Common data definitions
   - Interoperability standards
   - Patient-centered database
   - Include all types of data (e.g. notes, images)
   - Cover the full care cycle, including referring entities
   - Accessible to all involved parties
Moving to Value-Based Competition
Implications for Providers

• Organize around integrated practice units (IPUs) for each medical condition
  – With mechanisms for cross-IPU coordination

• Choose the appropriate scope of services in each facility based on excellence in patient value

• Integrate services for each IPU / medical condition across geographic locations

• Employ formal partnerships and alliances with independent practices involved in the care cycle to integrate care, improve capabilities, and/or obtain consultations

• Measure outcomes and costs for every medical condition over the full care cycle

• Implement a single, integrated, patient centric electronic medical record system which is utilized by every unit and accessible to partners, referring physicians, and patients

• Lead the development of new contracting models with health plans based on bundled reimbursement for care cycles

• Expand high-performance IPUs across geography using an integrated model
  – Instead of a federation of broad line, stand-alone facilities
# MD Anderson Cancer Center
## Integrated Head and Neck Cancer Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Head and Neck Center</strong></td>
<td><strong>Shared</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDs</strong></td>
<td><strong>MDs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Medical Oncologists</td>
<td>- Endocrinologists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Surgical Oncologists</td>
<td>- Other specialists as needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Radiation Oncologists</td>
<td>(cardiologists, plastic surgeons, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Dentists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Diagnostic Radiologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pathologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ophthalmologists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skilled Staff</strong></td>
<td><strong>Skilled Staff</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nurses</td>
<td>- Nutritionists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Audiologist</td>
<td>- Social Workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Patient Advocate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Head and Neck Center</strong></td>
<td><strong>Shared</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Outpatient Unit</td>
<td>- Radiation Therapy</td>
<td>- Inpatient Wards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lab/Pathology</td>
<td>→ Medical Wards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Chemotherapy</td>
<td>→ Surgical Wards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moving to Value-Based Competition
Health Plans

“Payor”

Value-Added Health Organization
Moving to Value-Based Competition

Value-Adding Roles of Health Plans

- Assemble, analyze and manage the total medical records of members
- Provide for comprehensive prevention, screening, and chronic disease management services to all members
- Monitor and compare provider results by medical condition
- Provide advice to patients (and referring physicians) in selecting excellent providers
- Assist in coordinating patient care across the care cycle and across medical conditions
- Encourage and reward integrated practice unit models by providers
- Design new bundled reimbursement structures for care cycles instead of fees for discrete services
- Measure and report overall health results for members by medical condition versus other plans
- Health plans will require new capabilities and new types of staff to play these roles
Creating a High-Value Health Care System: Roles and Responsibilities

**Employers**

- Set the goal of *employee health*
- Assist employees in *healthy living* and *active participation in their own care*
- Provide for convenient and high value *prevention, screening, and disease management* services
  - On site clinics
- Set *new expectations for health plans*, including self-insured plans
  - Plans should assist subscribers in *accessing excellent providers* for their medical condition
  - Plans should contract for care *cycles rather* than discrete services
- Provide for *health plan continuity* for employees, rather than plan churning
- Find ways to *expand insurance coverage* and advocate *reform of the insurance system*
- Measure and hold employee benefit staff accountable for the company’s *health value received*
Creating a High-Value Health Care System: Roles and Responsibilities

Consumers

• Participate actively in managing personal health
• Expect relevant information and seek advice
• Make treatment and provider choices based on outcomes, not convenience or amenities
• Comply with treatment and preventative practices
• Work with the health plan in long-term health management
  – Shifting plans frequently is not in the consumer’s interest
• But “consumer-driven health care” is the wrong metaphor for reforming the system
Moving to Value-Based Competition

Government

• Establish **universal measurement** and **reporting of health outcomes**

• Create IT standards including **data definitions, interoperability standards**, and **deadlines for implementation** to enable the collection and exchange of medical information for every patient

• Remove obstacles to the **restructuring of health care delivery** around the integrated care of medical conditions

• Shift reimbursement systems to **bundled prices for cycles of care** instead of payments for discrete treatments or services

• Limit **provider price discrimination** across patients based on group membership

• **Open up competition** among providers and across geography
Moving to Value-Based Competition
**Government, cont’d.**

- Require health plans to measure and report **health outcomes** for members
- Encourage the **responsibility of individuals** for their health and their health care
How Will Redefining Health Care Begin?

• It is already happening in the U.S. and other countries

• Providers, as well as health plans and employers, can take voluntary steps in these directions, and will benefit irrespective of other changes

• The changes will be mutually reinforcing

• Once competition begins working, value improvement will no longer be discretionary or optional

• Those organizations that move early will gain major benefits

• Providers and health plans can and should take the lead